

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/527,152	HALLER ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
YEWEBDAR T. TADESCSE	1792	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) YEWEBDAR T. TADESCSE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Piotrowski.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2008
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*none*
**Claims discussed:**
*1, 8, 70 and 74*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*none*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney gave the examiner permission to amend claims listed above to overcome 112 2<sup>nd</sup> issues (see enclosed Examiner's amendment). Attorney also gave permission for the amendment to the Abstract on Dec. 4, 2008 (see enclosed). It is noted that Application data sheet properly claiming priority of this application to the Irish priority doc(IE 2002/0739) was filed on 12/02/2008 .*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yewebdar T Tadesse/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

